

The elliptic Sombor energy of graphs

Dilbak Mohammed^{1,*}, Delbrin Ahmed^{2,†}, Muwafaq Salih^{2,‡}

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Duhok,
Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq
*dilpakhaje@uod.ac

²Department of Mathematics, College of Basic Education, University of Duhok,
Duhok, Kurdistan Region, Iraq
†delbrin.ahmed@uod.ac
‡muwafaq.salih@uod.ac

Received: 18 December 2024; Accepted: 4 August 2025
Published Online: 14 August 2025

Abstract: The elliptic Sombor index is a topological index based on vertex degree introduced by Gutman. Suppose $\mathcal{G} = (V(\mathcal{G}), E(\mathcal{G}))$ is a finite, connected, and simple graph with $V(\mathcal{G}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$. Suppose $d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i)$ is the degree of w_i , for $1 \leq i \leq p$. We use $ES(\mathcal{G})$ to represent the Sombor elliptic matrix $\mathcal{G}$ which is a $p \times p$ matrix and its (i, j) -entry is equal to $(d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j))\sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)}$ if $w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})$, and zero otherwise. We introduce and investigate the elliptic Sombor energy and elliptic Sombor Estrada index, both base on the eigenvalues of the elliptic Sombor matrix. In addition, we prove some bounds for these new graph invariants.

Keywords: Sombor index, energy of graphs, topological indices.

AMS Subject classification: 05C50, 05C07, 05C69

1. Introduction

Let $\mathcal{G} = (V(\mathcal{G}), E(\mathcal{G}))$ be a finite, connected, and simple graph of order $|V(\mathcal{G})| = p$ and size $|E(\mathcal{G})| = q$ where $V(\mathcal{G}) = \{w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p\}$ is the vertex set of $\mathcal{G}$ and $E(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the edge set of $\mathcal{G}$. The degree of v_i is the number of first neighbors of the vertex v_i , $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and it is denoted by $d_{\mathcal{G}}(v_i)$. $\mathcal{G}$ is called complete graph if every two vertices of it are adjacent and is denoted by K_p .

* Corresponding Author

Let B be a real bivariate function defined over $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ with condition $B(r, s) = B(s, r)$ for all non-negative real number r and s . The topological index based on vertex degree [10] TI is defined by

$$TI(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{v_i v_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} B(d_{\mathcal{G}}(v_i), d_{\mathcal{G}}(v_j)).$$

In [10], Gutman listed 26 types of topological indices based on vertex degree, for instance, the different type of Zagreb indices [1], Randić indices [6], and Sombor index. A molecular graph [4] is a connected graph where its vertices are atoms and its edges are covalent bonds between these atoms. Topological indices contain information on the atom-connectivity molecular refractivity, the nature of atoms, molecular volume, the bond multiplicity, etc.

The Sombor index (briefly SI) was defined by Gutman [10] as follows

$$SO(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)}, \quad (1.1)$$

and the elliptic Sombor index (briefly ESI) [10, 11] is defined by

$$s_e = s_{e=w_i w_j} = (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)}.$$

$$ESO(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} s_{w_i w_j} = \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)}. \quad (1.2)$$

Also, Gutman and Trinajstić in 1972 [12] introduced the Zagreb indices as follow:

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(\mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{w \in V(\mathcal{G})} d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w) = \sum_{wv \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)), \\ M_2(\mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{wv \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w) d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)) \end{aligned}$$

Then Furtula and Gutman in 2015 [8] defined F-index by

$$F(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{w \in V(\mathcal{G})} d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^3 = \sum_{uv \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^2 + d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)^2).$$

In 2004, Li and Zhao [15] defined the general first Zagreb index of a graph $\mathcal{G}$ as

$$M_1^{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{w \in V(\mathcal{G})} d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^{\alpha} = \sum_{wv \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^{\alpha-1} + d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)^{\alpha-1}), \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\}.$$

For especial case, $\alpha = 4$ it is called Y-index and denoted by $Y(\mathcal{G})$, that is, $Y(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{w \in V(\mathcal{G})} d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^4$. The general Randić index [5] is defined by

$$M_2^\alpha(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{wv \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)d_{\mathcal{G}}(v))^\alpha, \quad \text{for } \alpha \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0, 1\},$$

and the (α, β) -Zagreb indices are defined by

$$Z_{(\alpha, \beta)}(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{vw \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^\alpha d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)^\beta + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w)^\beta d_{\mathcal{G}}(v)^\alpha), \quad \text{for } (\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0).$$

The ESI has the mathematical properties and chemical applications. Kulli [14] provided ESI on some chemical graphs. Rada et al. [17] studied elliptic Sombor index of benzenoid systems. Also, Espinal et al. [7] studied ESI on some chemical graphs. Chanda and Iyer [3], studied the SI of generalized Siperpiński graphs and generalized Mycielskian graphs and obtained some upper and lower bounds for them. Also, Liu [16] investigated multiplicative SI on some graphs such as unicyclic graphs and trees. On the other hand, spectral graph theory using matrix theory and linear algebra plays a fundamental role in study of matrix graphs. Spectral graph theory is interesting to many mathematicians and graph energy is an interesting topic in spectral graph theory. The eigenvalues of matrix corresponding to a topological index are related to most principal invariants of a graph. In a molecular graph, Gutmann [12] defined the relationship between the total electron energy and the graph energy. After than, many matrices were defined based on topological indices. For instance, Zagreb matrix [13], seidel matrix [2], Sombor matrix [9], etc.

Motivated as the above works, we investigate the elliptic Sombor energy and elliptic Sombor Estrada index of a graph. In addition, we prove some bounds for these new graph invariants.

2. Elliptic Sombor energy and elliptic Sombor Estrada index

For a simple finite graph $\mathcal{G}$ with $V(\mathcal{G}) = \{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$ the elliptic Sombor matrix is defined by $ES(\mathcal{G}) = [s_{ij}]_{p \times p}$ where

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j))\sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)} & \text{if } w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G}), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let I be a $p \times p$ unit matrix. The elliptic Sombor characteristic polynomial $P_{ES(\mathcal{G})}$ is determined as follows

$$P_{ES(\mathcal{G})}(\Lambda) = \det(\Lambda I - ES(\mathcal{G}))$$

All eigenvalues of $ES(\mathcal{G})$ are real because $ES(\mathcal{G})$ is a symmetric matrix, and we denote by $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_p$. The elliptic Sombor energy of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined by

$$EES(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i| \quad (2.1)$$

and the elliptic Sombor Estrada index of $\mathcal{G}$ is defined as follows

$$ESE(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^p e^{\Lambda_i}. \quad (2.2)$$

Example 1. Let $\mathcal{G} = K_r$. The (i, j) -entry of $ES(K_r)$ is $2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2$ if $i \neq j$ and zero otherwise. Then

$$ES(K_r) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & \dots & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 \\ 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 0 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & \dots & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 \\ 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 0 & \dots & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The elliptic Sombor characteristic polynomial $r_{ES(K_r)}$ is determined by

$$P_{ES(K_r)}(\Lambda) = \det(\Lambda I - ES(K_r)) = \left(\Lambda - 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^3\right) \left(\Lambda + 2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2\right)^{r-1}$$

which has a root $2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^3$ and $(r-1)$ roots $-2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2$. The elliptic Sombor energy of graph K_r is given by

$$EES(K_r) = 4\sqrt{2}(r-1)^3$$

and the elliptic Sombor Estrada index of K_r is obtained as follows

$$ESE(K_r) = e^{2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^3} + (r-1)e^{-2\sqrt{2}(r-1)^2}.$$

3. Some bounds for elliptic Sombor energy

In the following, we study the elliptic Sombor energy and give some bounds for it. Let $N_k = \sum_{i=1}^p (\Lambda_i)^k$ be the k -th spectral moment of the elliptic Sombor matrix $ES(\mathcal{G})$, and recall that $N_k = \text{Tr}((ES(\mathcal{G}))^k)$.

Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices, characterized by its edge set $E(\mathcal{G})$. The elliptic Sombor matrix associated with this graph is denoted as $ES(\mathcal{G})$, and the eigenvalues of this matrix are ordered as $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_p$. Then

$$i) \ N_1 = \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i = 0,$$

$$\begin{aligned}
ii) \quad N_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\Lambda_i)^2 = 2 \left(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \right), \\
iii) \quad N_3 &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\Lambda_i)^3 = 6 \sum_{C_3 \subseteq \mathcal{G}} \prod_{e \in E(C_3)} s_e, \\
iv) \quad N_4 &= \sum_{i=1}^p (\Lambda_i)^4 = 2 \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})} s_e^4 + 4 \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{G})} \sum_{\substack{u_i u_j \in N(v) \\ i < j}} s_{u_i v}^2 s_{v u_j}^2 + \\
&\quad 8 \sum_{C_4 \subseteq \mathcal{G}} \prod_{e \in E(C_4)} s_e.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. i) By definition $ES(\mathcal{G})$, (i, i) -entry of $ES(\mathcal{G})$ is equal to zero for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Then the trace of $ES(\mathcal{G})$ is zero.

ii) Let $V(\mathcal{G}) = \{w_1, \dots, w_p\}$. The diagonal elements of $(ES(\mathcal{G}))^2$ are

$$\begin{aligned}
((ES(\mathcal{G}))^2)_{ii} &= \sum_{k=1}^p s_{ik} s_{ki} = \sum_{k=1}^p (s_{ik})^2 = \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\} \\ w_i w_k \in E(\mathcal{G})}} (s_{ik})^2 \\
&= \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\} \\ w_i w_k \in E(\mathcal{G})}} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_k))^2 (d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_k)).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
Tr((ES(\mathcal{G}))^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{\substack{j \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\} \\ w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})}} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j))^2 (d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)) \\
&= 2 \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j))^2 (d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)) \\
&= 2 \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} \{d_{\mathcal{G}}^4(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^4(w_j) + 2d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i)d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j)\} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{w_i w_j \in E(\mathcal{G})} \{2d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_i)d_{\mathcal{G}}(w_j)(d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_i) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(w_j))\} \\
&= 2 \left(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

iii) Let $A_{ESO}(G)$ be the elliptic Sombor matrix of G , with eigenvalues $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_p$. By the Spectral Theorem,

$$N_3(G) = \text{tr}(A_{ESO}(G)^3) = \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^3.$$

The trace of $A_{ESO}(G)^3$ represents the total weight of all closed walks of length 3 in G . In a simple graph, every closed walk of length 3 corresponds to a triangle C_3 . If the edges of a triangle C_3 are e_1, e_2, e_3 , then the weight of each traversal of this triangle is: $\prod_{e \in C_3} s_e$.

Each triangle can be traversed from any of its three vertices and in either of two directions. Hence, every triangle contributes $3 \times 2 = 6$ closed walks of length 3. Therefore,

$$N_3(G) = \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^3 = 6 \sum_{C_3 \subseteq G} \prod_{e \in C_3} s_e.$$

Consequently, $N_3(G)$ is completely determined by the weighted triangles of G . iv) Let $A_{ESO}(G)$ be the elliptic Sombor matrix of G , with eigenvalues $\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_n$. By the Spectral Theorem,

$$N_4(G) = \text{tr}(A_{ESO}(G)^4) = \sum_{i=1}^p \Lambda_i^4.$$

Moreover, the trace of $A_{ESO}(G)^4$ is the total weight of all closed walks of length 4 in G . We classify these closed walks into three types.

Case 1. Closed walks using one edge.

For each edge $e = uv \in E(G)$, there are two closed walks of the form $w_i \rightarrow w_j \rightarrow w_i \rightarrow w_j \rightarrow w_i$ and $w_j \rightarrow w_i \rightarrow w_j \rightarrow w_i \rightarrow w_j$. Each has weight s_e^4 . Therefore, their total contribution is:

$$2 \sum_{e \in E(G)} s_e^4.$$

Case 2. Closed walks using two distinct edges.

Let $v \in V(G)$, and let $u_i, u_j \in N(v)$ where $i < j$. The four closed walks obtained from the two edges vu_i and vu_j have total weight:

$$4s_{vu_i}^2 s_{vu_j}^2.$$

Hence, the total contribution from this type is:

$$4 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{\substack{u_i, u_j \in N(v) \\ i < j}} s_{vu_i}^2 s_{vu_j}^2.$$

Case 3. Closed walks forming a 4-cycle.

For every 4-cycle $C_4 \subseteq G$, there are 4 possible starting vertices and 2 directions. Thus, each 4-cycle gives 8 closed walks of length 4. Their total contribution is:

$$8 \sum_{C_4 \subseteq G} \prod_{e \in C_4} s_e.$$

Combining the three types gives:

$$N_4(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \Lambda_i^4 = 2 \sum_{e \in E(G)} s_e^4 + 4 \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{\substack{u_i, u_j \in N(v) \\ i < j}} s_{vu_i}^2 s_{vu_j}^2 + 8 \sum_{C_4 \subseteq G} \prod_{e \in C_4} s_e.$$

Therefore, $N_4(G)$ is completely determined by the weighted closed walks of length 4 in G . $\square$

Theorem 2. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices. The elliptic Sombor matrix associated with this graph is denoted as $ES(\mathcal{G})$, and the eigenvalues of this matrix are ordered as $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_p$. Then*

$$\Lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \sqrt{M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})}.$$

Proof. For $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, suppose x_i and y_i are real numbers. The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality leads to

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i \right)^2 \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^p x_i^2 \right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^p y_i^2 \right). \quad (3.1)$$

If we consider $x_i = \Lambda_i$, $y_i = 1$, and $2 \leq i \leq p$, in inequality (3.1), we deduce

$$\left(\sum_{i=2}^p \Lambda_i \right)^2 \leq (p-1) \sum_{i=2}^p \Lambda_i^2. \quad (3.2)$$

Using Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$(-\Lambda_1)^2 \leq (p-1) \left(2 \left(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \right) - \Lambda_1^2 \right). \quad (3.3)$$

By solving the last inequality with respect to Λ_1 , we get

$$\Lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2(p-1)}{p}} \sqrt{M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})}.$$

$\square$

Theorem 3. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices, the elliptic Sombor matrix associated with this graph is denoted as $ES(\mathcal{G})$ and $P = \det(ES(\mathcal{G}))$. Then*

$$|EES(\mathcal{G})| \geq \sqrt{2 \left(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \right) + P^{\frac{2}{p}}}.$$

Proof. By definition of the elliptic Sombor energy, we get

$$\begin{aligned} |EES(\mathcal{G})|^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i| \right)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i|^2 + \sum_{\substack{i \neq j \\ 1 \leq i, j \leq p}} |\Lambda_i| |\Lambda_j| \\ &= 2 \left(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \right) + \sum_{i \neq j} |\Lambda_i| |\Lambda_j|. \end{aligned}$$

For non-negative real number $x_1, \dots, x_p$, by the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{p} \sum_{i=1}^p x_i \geq \left(\prod_{i=1}^p x_i \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}. \quad (3.4)$$

Using (3.4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{p(p-1)} \sum_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq p} |\Lambda_i| |\Lambda_j| &\geq \left(\prod_{1 \leq i \neq j \leq p} |\Lambda_i| |\Lambda_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p(p-1)}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i| \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= (\det(ES(\mathcal{G})))^{\frac{2}{p}} = P^{\frac{2}{p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$|EES(\mathcal{G})|^2 \geq 2 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + P^{\frac{2}{p}}.$$

We get the inequality stated in Theorem 3. $\square$

Theorem 4. Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix, $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \Lambda_p$ are its eigenvalues and $|\Lambda_1|$ and $|\Lambda_p|$ are the maximum and minimum of the absolute value of $\{\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \dots, \Lambda_p\}$. Then

$$\frac{2 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + p|\Lambda_1||\Lambda_p|}{(|\Lambda_1| + |\Lambda_p|)} \leq EES(\mathcal{G}).$$

Equality is true if and only if $|\Lambda_i| = |\Lambda_p|$ or $|\Lambda_i| = |\Lambda_1|$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$.

Proof. Assume that $(x_1, \dots, x_p)$ and $(y_1, \dots, y_p)$ are positive real numbers, satisfy the condition $rx_i \leq y_i \leq Rx_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ and some real constants r, R . The Diaz-Metcalf inequality yields

$$\sum_{i=1}^p (y_i^2 + rRx_i^2) \leq (r+R) \sum_{i=1}^p x_i y_i$$

and equality holds if and only if $y_i = Rx_i$ or $y_i = rx_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq p$. Putting $y_i = |\Lambda_i|$, $x_i = 1$, $r = |\Lambda_p|$, and $R = |\Lambda_1|$ in Diaz-Metcalf inequality, it follows that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i|^2 + p|\Lambda_1||\Lambda_p| \leq (|\Lambda_1| + |\Lambda_p|)EES(\mathcal{G}).$$

From Theorem 1, we conclude that

$$2 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + p|\Lambda_1||\Lambda_p| \leq (|\Lambda_1| + |\Lambda_p|)EES(\mathcal{G}).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 5. Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then

$$EES(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sqrt{2p (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}.$$

Proof. Taking $x_i = 1$ and $y_i = |\Lambda_i|$ in Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (3.1), we arrive at

$$(EES(\mathcal{G}))^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i| \right)^2 \leq p \left(\sum_{i=1}^p |\Lambda_i|^2 \right) = 2p (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})).$$

By simplifying it, we derive the required result. $\square$

Theorem 6. Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then

$$EES(\mathcal{G}) \leq \sqrt{2(p-1) (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + pP^{\frac{2}{p}}}.$$

Proof. Let $z_1, \dots, z_p$ be non-negative real numbers. We have

$$p \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p z_j - \left(\prod_{j=1}^p z_j \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \leq p \sum_{j=1}^p z_j - \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \sqrt{z_j} \right)^2. \quad (3.5)$$

Inserting $z_j = \Lambda_j^2$ in (3.5), we infer

$$p \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 - \left(\prod_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \right) \leq p \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 - \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^2.$$

Using Theorem 1, we infer

$$\begin{aligned} & 2 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) - pP^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq 2p (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) - (EES(\mathcal{G}))^2. \end{aligned}$$

By simplifying it, we deduce the required result. $\square$

Theorem 7. Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix such that the maximum of $\{|\Lambda_1|, |\Lambda_2|, \dots, |\Lambda_p|\}$ is greater or equal to 1. Then

$$e^{-\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}} \leq EES(\mathcal{G}) \leq e^{\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}.$$

Proof. By definition of the $EES(\mathcal{G})$ and using the arithmetic-geometric mean inequality, we get

$$EES(\mathcal{G}) = \sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| = p \left(\frac{1}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right) \geq p \left(\prod_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

By virtue the inequality $p \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{a_j} \right)^{-1} \geq \left(\prod_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ for some positive numbers $a_1, \dots, a_p$, we get

$$p \left(\prod_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq p^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{|\Lambda_j|} \right)^{-1} \geq p^2 \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{|\Lambda_j|} \sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^{-1}.$$

Let $y_1 \leq y_2 \leq \dots y_p$ and $z_1 \leq z_2 \leq \dots z_p$ be real numbers. The Chebishev's inequality, implies that

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^p y_j \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^p z_j \right) \leq p \sum_{j=1}^p y_j z_j.$$

Taking $y_j = \frac{1}{|\Lambda_j|}$ and $z_j = |\Lambda_j|$ in Chebishev's inequality, one gets

$$\sum_{j=1}^p \frac{1}{|\Lambda_j|} \sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \leq p^2.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} p \left(\prod_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} &\geq 1 \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^p e^{|\Lambda_j|}} \geq \frac{1}{\sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{|\Lambda_j|^k}{k!}} = \frac{1}{\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j|^k \right)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) \right)^{\frac{k}{2}}} \\ &= e^{-\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$EES(\mathcal{G}) \geq e^{-\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
 EES(\mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j| \leq \sum_{j=1}^p e^{|\Lambda_j|} = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{|\Lambda_j|^k}{k!} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j|^k \right) \\
 &\leq \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p |\Lambda_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
 &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) \right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \\
 &= e^{\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Some bounds for elliptic Sombor Estrada index

In the following, for a given graph $\mathcal{G}$ we obtain some bounds for the elliptic Sombor Estrada index.

Theorem 8. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
 ESE(\mathcal{G}) &\geq p + M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{C_3 \subseteq \mathcal{G}} \prod_{e \in E(C_3)} s_e \\
 &\quad + \frac{1}{12} \sum_{e \in E(\mathcal{G})} s_e^4 + \frac{1}{6} \sum_{v \in V(\mathcal{G})} \sum_{\substack{u_i u_j \in N(v) \\ i < j}} s_{u_i v}^2 s_{v u_j}^2 + \frac{1}{3} \sum_{C_4 \subseteq \mathcal{G}} \prod_{e \in E(C_4)} s_e.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Since $e^x \geq 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24}$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
 ESE(\mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{i=1}^p e^{\Lambda_i} \geq \sum_{i=1}^p \left(1 + (\Lambda_i) + \frac{(\Lambda_i)^2}{2} + \frac{(\Lambda_i)^3}{6} + \frac{(\Lambda_i)^4}{24} \right) \\
 &= p + N_1 + \frac{N_2}{2} + \frac{N_3}{6} + \frac{N_4}{24}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Replacing N_1, N_2, N_3 , and N_4 from Theorem 1 in the above inequality, we conclude the required inequality.

□

Theorem 9. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then*

$$ESE(\mathcal{G}) \leq p - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}.$$

Proof. Let $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_\alpha$ be positive elliptic Sombor eigenvalue of $ES(\mathcal{G})$ and $\Lambda_{\alpha+1}, \dots, \Lambda_p$ be non-positive elliptic Sombor eigenvalue of $ES(\mathcal{G})$. Function $f(x) = e^x$ is a monotonically increase on $(-\infty, +\infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} ESE(\mathcal{G}) &= \sum_{j=1}^p e^{\Lambda_j} \leq (p - \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} e^{\Lambda_j} = (p - \alpha) + \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{(\Lambda_j)^r}{r!} \\ &= p + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} (\Lambda_j)^r \leq p + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{\alpha} (\Lambda_j)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &\leq p + \sum_{r \geq 1} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p (\Lambda_j)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} = p - 1 + \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{1}{r!} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p (\Lambda_j)^2 \right)^{\frac{r}{2}} \\ &= p - 1 + e^{\sqrt{2(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 10. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} ESE(\mathcal{G}) &\geq \sqrt{2p(M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{2p}{3} \sum_{\Delta} \prod_{ab \in E(\Delta)} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(b)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(b)}} \\ &\quad + \sqrt{\frac{p}{6} \sum_{\square} \prod_{ab \in E(\square)} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(b)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(b)}} \\ &\quad + M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Suppose that $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_p$ is the elliptic Sombor eigenvalue of $ES(\mathcal{G})$. Since $e^x \geq 1 + x + \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{x^3}{6} + \frac{x^4}{24}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} (ESE(\mathcal{G}))^2 &= \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p e^{\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k} \\ &\geq \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \left(1 + \Lambda_j + \Lambda_k + \frac{(\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k)^2}{2} + \frac{(\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k)^3}{6} + \frac{(\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k)^4}{24} \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \left(1 + \Lambda_j + \Lambda_k + \frac{\Lambda_j^2 + \Lambda_k^2}{2} + \Lambda_j \Lambda_k + \frac{\Lambda_j^3 + \Lambda_k^3}{6} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \left(\frac{1}{2} \Lambda_j \Lambda_k (\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k) + \frac{\Lambda_j^4 + \Lambda_k^4}{24} + \frac{1}{4} \Lambda_j^2 \Lambda_k^2 + \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_j \Lambda_k (\Lambda_j^2 + \Lambda_k^2) \right).$$

Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p (\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k) &= 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \Lambda_j \Lambda_k &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j \right)^2 = 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\Lambda_j^2 + \Lambda_k^2}{2} &= p \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 = 2n (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})), \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\Lambda_j^3 + \Lambda_k^3}{6} &= \frac{p}{3} \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^3 = \frac{2n}{3} \sum_{\Delta} \prod_{ab \in E(\Delta)} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(b)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(b)}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_j \Lambda_k (\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k) &= 0, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{\Lambda_j^4 + \Lambda_k^4}{24} &= \frac{p}{12} \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^3 = \frac{p}{6} \sum_{\square} \prod_{ab \in E(\square)} (d_{\mathcal{G}}(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}(b)) \sqrt{d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(a) + d_{\mathcal{G}}^2(b)}, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{4} \Lambda_j^2 \Lambda_k^2 &= \frac{1}{4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 \right)^2 = (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))^2, \\ \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_j \Lambda_k (\Lambda_j^2 + \Lambda_k^2) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above equations, we obtain the inequality stated in Theorem 10. $\square$

Theorem 11. *Let $\mathcal{G}$ represent a graph consisting of p vertices with $ES(\mathcal{G})$ being its elliptic Sombor matrix. Then*

$$ESE(\mathcal{G}) \geq \sqrt{p^2 + 4 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. Suppose that $\Lambda_1, \dots, \Lambda_p$ is the elliptic Sombor eigenvalue of $ES(\mathcal{G})$. We get

$$(ESE(\mathcal{G}))^2 = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{k=1}^p e^{\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k} = \sum_{j=1}^p e^{2\Lambda_j} + 2 \sum_{j < k} e^{\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k}.$$

Also, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j < k} e^{\Lambda_j + \Lambda_k} &\geq p(p-1) \left(\prod_{j < k} e^{\Lambda_j} e^{\Lambda_k} \right)^{\frac{2}{p(p-1)}} = p(p-1) \left(\prod_{j=1}^p e^{\Lambda_j} \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &= p(p-1) e^{\frac{2}{p} \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j} = p(p-1), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^p e^{2\lambda_j} = \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{r \geq 0} \frac{(2\lambda_j)^r}{r!} = p + 2 \sum_{j=1}^p \Lambda_j^2 + \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{r \geq 3} \frac{(2\lambda_j)^r}{r!}.$$

We consider a multiplier $\beta \in [0, 8]$ and we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^p e^{2\lambda_j} &\geq p + 4 (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + \beta \sum_{j=1}^p \sum_{r \geq 3} \frac{(2\lambda_j)^r}{r!} \\ &= (1 - \beta)p + (4 - \beta) (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + \beta ESE(\mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$(ESE(\mathcal{G}))^2 \geq (p - \beta)p + (4 - \beta) (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G})) + \beta ESE(\mathcal{G}).$$

By solving the above inequality with respect to $ESE(\mathcal{G})$, we deduce

$$ESE(\mathcal{G}) \geq \frac{\beta}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{\beta}{2} - p\right)^2 + (4 - \beta) (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}. \quad (4.2)$$

Function $f(x) = \frac{x}{2} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{x}{2} - p\right)^2 + (4 - x) (M_1^5(\mathcal{G}) + 2M_2^2(\mathcal{G}) + 2Z_{(3,1)}(\mathcal{G}))}$ is a monotonically decreasing function with respect to x on $[0, 8]$ for $p \geq 2$ and $p_2 \geq 1$. Setting $\beta = 0$ into (4.2), we get (4.1). $\square$

Declarations

Funding: This work does not receive any funding.

Conflict of interest/Competing interests: We declare that we do not have any commercial or associative interest that represents a conflict of interest in connection with the work submitted.

Consent for publication: The author agree to publications.

Availability of data and materials: All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article.

Authors' Contributions: All authors contributed equally in the preparation of this manuscript.

References

- [1] V. Anandkumar and R.R. Iyer, *On the hyper-Zagreb index of some operations on graphs*, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math **112** (2017), no. 2, 239–252.
<https://doi.org/10.12732/ijpam.v112i2.2>.
- [2] A.E. Brouwer and W.H. Haemers, *Spectra of Graphs*, Springer Science & Business Media, 2011.
- [3] S. Chanda and R.R. Iyer, *On the Sombor index of Sierpiński and Mycielskian graphs*, Commun. Comb. Optim. **10** (2025), no. 1, 20–56.
<https://doi.org/10.22049/cco.2023.28681.1669>.
- [4] M.T. Cronin, J. Leszczynski, and T. Puzyn, *Recent Advances in QSAR Studies Methods and Applications, Challenges and Advances in Computational Chemistry and Physics*, Springer Dordrecht, London, New York, 2010.
- [5] Q. Cui and L. Zhong, *The general Randić index of trees with given number of pendent vertices*, Appl. Math. Comput. **302** (2017), 111–121.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2017.01.021>.
- [6] K.C. Das, S. Das, and B. Zhou, *Sum-connectivity index of a graph*, Front. Math. China **11** (2016), no. 1, 47–54.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11464-015-0470-2>.
- [7] C. Espinal, I. Gutman, and J. Rada, *Elliptic Sombor index of chemical graphs*, Commun. Comb. Optim. **10** (2025), no. 4, 989–999.
<https://doi.org/10.22049/cco.2024.29404.1977>.
- [8] B. Furtula and I. Gutman, *A forgotten topological index*, J. Math. Chem. **53** (2015), no. 4, 1184–1190.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s10910-015-0480-z>.
- [9] K.J. Gowtham and S.N. Narasimha, *On Sombor energy of graphs*, Nanosyst.:Phys., Chem., Math. **12** (2021), no. 4, 411–417.
<https://doi.org/10.17586/2220-8054-2021-12-4-411-417>.
- [10] I. Gutman, *Geometric approach to degree-based topological indices: Sombor indices*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **86** (2021), no. 1, 11–16.
- [11] I. Gutman, B. Furtula, and M. Sinan Oz, *Geometric approach to vertex-degree-based topological indices-elliptic Sombor index, theory and application*, Int. J. Quantum Chem. **12** (2024), no. 2, e27346.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/qua.27346>.
- [12] I. Gutman and N. Trinajstić, *Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons*, Chem. Phys. Lett. **17** (1972), no. 4, 535–538.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614\(72\)85099-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0009-2614(72)85099-1).
- [13] N. Jafari Rad, A. Jahanbani, and I. Gutman, *Zagreb energy and Zagreb Estrada index of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **79** (2018), no. 2, 371–386.
- [14] V.R. Kulli, *Modeified Sombor index and its exponential of a graph*, Int. J. Math.

- Comput. Res. **12** (2024), no. 1, 3949–3954.
<https://doi.org/10.47191/ijmcr/v12i1.04>.
- [15] X. Li and H. Zhao, *Trees with the first three smallest and largest generalized topological indices*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **50** (2004), 57–62.
- [16] H. Liu, *Multiplicative Sombor index of graphs*, Discrete Math. Lett **9** (2022), 80–85.
<https://doi.org/10.47443/dml.2021.s213>.
- [17] J. Rada, J.M. Rodríguez, and J.M. Sigarreta, *Sombor index and elliptic Sombor index of benzenoid systems*, Appl. Math. Comput. **475** (2024), 128756.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2024.128756>.