

Remarks on the bounds of graph energy

Ş. Burcu Bozkurt Altındağ^{1,*}, Emina Milovanović^{2,†}, Marjan Matejić^{2,‡},
 Igor Milovanović^{2,§}

¹Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Selçuk University, Konya, Turkey
 *srf_burcu_bozkurt@hotmail.com

²University of Niš, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, Niš, Serbia
 †ema@elfak.ni.ac.rs
 ‡marjan.matejic@elfak.ni.ac.rs
 §igor.milovanovic@elfak.ni.ac.rs

Received: 13 July 2023; Accepted: 13 June 2024

Published Online: 28 June 2024

Abstract: Let G be a graph of order n with eigenvalues $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. The energy of G is defined as $E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|$. In the present paper, new bounds on $E(G)$ are provided. In addition, some bounds of $E(G)$ are compared.

Keywords: graph spectra, graph invariants, energy of graph

AMS Subject classification: 15A18, 05C50

1. Introduction

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph with n vertices and m edges, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$. If v_i and v_j are two adjacent vertices of G , it is denoted by $i \sim j$. Denote by $\Delta = d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n = \delta$ the vertex degree sequence of G . The Randić index of G is one of the most important graph topological indices defined as $R(G) = \sum_{i \sim j} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d_i d_j}}$ [31] (see also [21]).

Let $A(G)$ be the $(0, 1)$ -adjacency matrix of a graph G . Eigenvalues of $A(G)$, $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$, are the eigenvalues of G . Denote by $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*|$ the non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . For the spectral radius λ_1 of G , it is a well known fact that $\lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*|$. Evidently,

$$|\lambda_1^*|^2 + |\lambda_2^*|^2 + \dots + |\lambda_n^*|^2 = 2m$$

* Corresponding Author

and

$$\prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| = |\det A|.$$

One of the most studied graph spectrum-based invariants in graph theory is the graph energy defined in [19]. It is calculated as

$$E(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|.$$

Details on the theory and applications of $E(G)$ including its basic properties and various bounds can be found in monograph [23] and recent papers [5, 9, 15, 16, 20, 26, 27]. We now list some bounds on $E(G)$, reported earlier in the literature.

Two of the present authors [27] proved that

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2m + n |\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|} \quad (1.1)$$

and obtained the following inequality as a corollary of (1.1)

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2\sqrt{2mn |\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*|}}{|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}, \quad (1.2)$$

which was established in [12]. However, the equality case was not given properly in [27]. This was corrected in [9]. Nine years after paper [12] was published, the inequality (1.2) was again proved by Oboudi [30]. More interestingly, the author [30] proved (1.1) as an intermediate result, while proving (1.2). In [20], the inequality (1.2) was named as Oboudi-type inequality. It is worth mentioning here that the inequalities (1.1) and (1.2) were obtained as special case of one more general result reported in [25].

Very recently, Filipovski [15] obtained that

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2m}{\Delta} \quad (1.3)$$

and for triangle-free graphs

$$E(G) \leq \sqrt{2n} R(G), \quad (1.4)$$

where $R(G)$ is Randić index of G .

In this paper, we obtain new bounds for $E(G)$. In addition, we compare some bounds of $E(G)$.

2. Lemmas

In this section, we list some preliminary lemmas that will be used in the subsequent section.

Lemma 1. [7] *Let $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n > 0$ be a sequence of positive real numbers. Then*

$$a_1 + \dots + a_n \geq n (a_1 a_2 \dots a_n)^{1/n} \left(\frac{(a_1 + a_n)^2}{4a_1 a_n} \right)^{1/n}. \quad (2.1)$$

Equality holds if $a_2 = a_3 = \dots = a_{n-1} = \frac{a_1 + a_n}{2}$.

Lemma 2. [18] *For $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \geq 0$ and $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n \geq 0$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$,*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i - \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{p_i} \geq n\lambda \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n a_i - \prod_{i=1}^n a_i^{1/n} \right), \quad (2.2)$$

where $\lambda = \min\{p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n\}$. Moreover, the equality in (2.2) holds if and only if $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_n$.

Lemma 3. [22] *Let $p = (p_i)$ and $a = (a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be sequences of positive real numbers such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < r \leq a_i \leq R.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{a_i} \leq \left(\sqrt{\frac{R}{r}} + \sqrt{\frac{r}{R}} \right)^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Lemma 4. [29, 32] *Let $p = (p_i)$ and $a = (a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be real number sequences such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < r \leq a_i \leq R.$$

Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i + rR \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{a_i} \leq r + R. \quad (2.4)$$

Remark 1. From the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means (AM–GM), we obtain

$$2\sqrt{rR \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{a_i}} \leq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i + rR \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{p_i}{a_i} \leq r + R. \quad (2.5)$$

Having this in mind, the inequality (2.3) can be obtained from (2.4), that is (2.3) is a corollary of (2.4).

Lemma 5. [28] Let $x = (x_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be a real number sequence with the properties

$$\sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = 1.$$

Then for any real number sequence $a = (a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, holds

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i x_i \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \right). \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 6. [29] Let $p = (p_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be a sequence of non-negative real numbers and $a = (a_i)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, a sequence of positive real numbers. Then for any real r , $r \leq 0$ or $r \geq 1$, holds

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \right)^{r-1} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i^r \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \right)^r. \quad (2.7)$$

When $0 \leq r \leq 1$, the opposite inequality is valid. Equality holds if and only if either $r = 0$, or $r = 1$, or $a_1 = \dots = a_n$, or $p_1 = \dots = p_t = 0$ and $a_{t+1} = \dots = a_n$, or $a_1 = \dots = a_t$ and $p_{t+1} = \dots = p_n$, for some t , $1 \leq t \leq n-1$.

Lemma 7. [28] Let $p = (p_i)$, $a = (a_i)$ and $b = (b_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be positive real number sequences such that $a = (a_i)$ and $b = (b_i)$ are of similar monotonicity. Then

$$\sum_{i=1}^n p_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i b_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n p_i a_i \sum_{i=1}^n p_i b_i. \quad (2.8)$$

Equality holds if and only if $a_1 = \dots = a_n$ or $b_1 = \dots = b_n$.

Lemma 8. [11] Let G be a graph with n vertices, m edges and vertex degree sequence $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$. Then

$$E(G) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i}. \quad (2.9)$$

Lemma 9. [13] Let G be a triangle-free graph with n vertices and m edges. Then,

$$\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{m} \leq R(G),$$

where $R(G)$ is Randić index of G .

3. Main Results

Theorem 1. *Let G be a non-singular graph with n vertices and m edges and let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + \frac{2m - \lambda_1^2}{|\lambda_2^*|} \quad (3.1)$$

Equality in (3.1) holds if and only if $|\lambda_2^| = \dots = |\lambda_n^*|$.*

Proof. Observe that

$$|\lambda_2^*| \sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i^*| \geq \sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i^*|^2 = 2m - \lambda_1^{*2}$$

that is,

$$E(G) - |\lambda_1^*| \geq \frac{2m - \lambda_1^{*2}}{|\lambda_2^*|},$$

wherefrom the inequality (3.1) is obtained. Moreover, the equality in (3.1) holds if and only if $|\lambda_2^*| = \dots = |\lambda_n^*|$. \square

Remark 2. We should note that

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + \frac{2m - \lambda_1^2}{|\lambda_2^*|} \geq \frac{2m}{\lambda_1}$$

when $\lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*| \neq |\lambda_2^*|$. By the above result and the fact that $\lambda_1 \leq \Delta$ [8],

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + \frac{2m - \lambda_1^2}{|\lambda_2^*|} \geq \frac{2m}{\lambda_1} \geq \frac{2m}{\Delta}. \quad (3.2)$$

This implies that the lower bound (3.1) is stronger than the lower bound (1.3).

Remark 3. Notice that the following inequality is valid

$$\frac{2m + n |\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|} \geq \frac{2m}{\lambda_1}, \quad (3.3)$$

since $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}$ [8] for all connected non-singular graphs. Considering (1.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we deduce that the lower bound (1.1) is stronger than the lower bound (1.3) for connected non-singular graphs.

Corollary 1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then*

$$E(G) \geq \frac{4m}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n}. \quad (3.4)$$

Equality holds if and only if $\lambda_1 = \dots = \lambda_p = -\lambda_{p+1} = \dots = -\lambda_n$, $n = 2p$.

The inequality (3.4) is a special case of one inequality proved in [10].

Remark 4. From (3.2) and (3.4), the following is valid

$$E(G) \geq \frac{4m}{\lambda_1 - \lambda_n} \geq \frac{2m}{\lambda_1} \geq \frac{2m}{\Delta},$$

which implies that the lower bound (3.4) is stronger than the lower bound (1.3).

Remark 5. Caporossi et al. [6] presented the following lower bound based on the number of edges as:

$$E(G) \geq 2\sqrt{m}. \quad (3.5)$$

Considering (1.1) and (3.3) with Lemma 9, we have that

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2m + n|\lambda_1^*||\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|} \geq \frac{2m}{\lambda_1} \geq 2\sqrt{m}.$$

This implies that the lower bound (1.1) is stronger than the lower bound (3.5) for connected non-singular triangle-free graphs.

Remark 6. McClelland [24] obtained the following upper bound for graph energy involving the number of vertices and the number of edges:

$$E(G) \leq \sqrt{2mn}. \quad (3.6)$$

From (3.6) and Lemma 9, one can easily arrive at the upper bound (1.4) obtained in [15]. Moreover, it can be concluded that (3.6) is stronger than (1.4) for triangle-free graphs.

Theorem 2. *Let G be a non-singular graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree Δ . Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq \Delta + \frac{2m - \Delta^2 + (n-1)|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.7)$$

Equality in (3.7) holds if and only if G is regular graph with the property $|\lambda_i^| = |\lambda_n^*|$ or $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_2^*|$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$.*

Proof. Since $|\lambda_n^*| \leq |\lambda_i^*| \leq |\lambda_2^*|$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$, we have that

$$(|\lambda_i^*| - |\lambda_n^*|)(|\lambda_i^*| - |\lambda_2^*|) \leq 0.$$

From the above, we arrive at

$$\sum_{i=2}^n \left(|\lambda_i^*|^2 - |\lambda_i^*|(|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|) + |\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*| \right) \leq 0,$$

that is

$$2m - \lambda_1^2 - (|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|)(E(G) - \lambda_1) + (n-1)|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*| \leq 0,$$

i.e.

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + \frac{2m - \lambda_1^2 + (n-1)|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.8)$$

Now consider the function $f(x)$ defined by

$$f(x) = x + \frac{2m - x^2}{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}.$$

It can be easily shown that f is decreasing with respect to the x . Since $\lambda_1 \leq \Delta$ [8], we get that

$$f(\lambda_1) \geq f(\Delta) = \Delta + \frac{2m - \Delta^2}{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.9)$$

Thus, by (3.8) and (3.9), we obtain (3.7). The equality in (3.7) holds if and only if all inequalities used in the derivation of (3.7) must be equalities. This implies that G is regular graph with the property $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_n^*|$ or $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_2^*|$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$. \square

Corollary 2. *Let G be a non-singular graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree Δ . Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq \Delta + \frac{2\sqrt{2m(n-1)|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*|} - \Delta^2}{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 7. Recall that the equality in (3.7) holds if and only if G is regular graph with the property $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_n^*|$ or $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_2^*|$ for any $i = 2, \dots, n$. For instance, line graph of Petersen graph G_1 is a 4-regular graph with 15 vertices, 30 edges and spectrum

$$\{4, [\pm 2]^5, [-1]^4\}.$$

For this graph, $E(G_1) = 28$. On the other hand, the lower bounds (3.7) and (1.1) give the values 28 and 24, respectively.

Akbari and Hosseinzadeh [3] propose the following conjecture.

Conjecture 3.1. [3] For every non-singular graph G , $E(G) \geq \Delta + \delta$ and the equality holds if and only if G is a complete graph.

The proofs of special cases of this conjecture were given in recent papers [1, 2, 4, 17]. The lower bound (3.7) yields a new case when Conjecture 3.1 holds.

Corollary 3. *Let G be a non-singular graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree Δ . Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . If G has the following property*

$$2m - \Delta^2 + (n-1)|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*| \geq \delta(|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|),$$

then

$$E(G) \geq \Delta + \delta.$$

The proof of the next theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 2, thus omitted.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a non-singular bipartite graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree Δ . Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq 2\Delta + \frac{2m - 2\Delta^2 + (n-2)|\lambda_3^*||\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_3^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.11)$$

Equality in (3.11) holds if and only if G is a bipartite regular graph with the property $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_n^*|$ or $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_3^*|$ for any $i = 3, \dots, n$.

Corollary 4. *Let G be a non-singular bipartite graph with n vertices, m edges and maximum degree Δ . Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq |\lambda_2^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq 2\Delta + \frac{2\sqrt{2m(n-2)|\lambda_3^*||\lambda_n^*|} - 2\Delta^2}{|\lambda_3^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.12)$$

Remark 8. The equality in (3.11) holds if and only if G is a bipartite regular graph with the property $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_n^*|$ or $|\lambda_i^*| = |\lambda_3^*|$ for any $i = 3, \dots, n$. Recall that Franklin graph G_2 is a 3-regular bipartite graph with 12 vertices, 18 edges and spectrum

$$\left\{ \pm 3, \left[\pm \sqrt{3} \right]^2, \left[\pm 1 \right]^3 \right\}.$$

For graph G_2 , $E(G_2) = 12 + 4\sqrt{3}$. Moreover, the lower bound (3.11) gives $12 + 4\sqrt{3}$ whereas the lower bound (1.1) gives 18.

For $a_i = |\lambda_i^*|$, $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$, from (2.1) we obtain the following result.

Proposition 1. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Let $|\lambda_1^*| \geq \dots \geq |\lambda_n^*| > 0$ be a non-increasing arrangement of the absolute values of eigenvalues of G . Then*

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + (n-1) \left(\frac{|\det A|}{\lambda_1} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \left(\frac{(|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|)^2}{4|\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*|} \right)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

Equality holds when $|\lambda_3^*| = \dots = |\lambda_{n-1}^*| = \frac{|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*|}{2}$.

Theorem 4. Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges, where $2m \geq n$. Then for any real ξ , $\lambda_1 \geq \xi \geq \frac{2m}{n}$

$$E(G) \geq \xi + (n-1) \left((k+1) \frac{|\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}}}{\xi^{\frac{1}{(k+1)(n-1)}}} - k |\det A|^{1/n} \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Equality in (3.13) holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$ (n is even).

Proof. Let us take, $a_i = |\lambda_i^*|$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $p_1 = \frac{k}{(k+1)n}$ and $p_i = \frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$, in (2.2), where $k \geq 0$ is a real number. Then, we get the following inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{k}{(k+1)n} \lambda_1 + \frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)} \sum_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i^*| - \lambda_1^{\frac{k}{(k+1)n}} \prod_{i=2}^n |\lambda_i^*|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}} \\ & \geq \frac{k}{(k+1)n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| - \frac{k}{k+1} \prod_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*|^{1/n}, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$E(G) \geq \lambda_1 + (k+1)(n-1) \frac{|\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}}}{\lambda_1^{\frac{1}{(k+1)(n-1)}}} - k(n-1) |\det A|^{1/n}. \quad (3.14)$$

Consider the function $f(x)$ defined as

$$f(x) = x + \frac{(k+1)(n-1)}{x^{\frac{1}{(k+1)(n-1)}}} |\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}}.$$

It can be easily seen that

$$f'(x) = 1 - |\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}} x^{-\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)(n-1)}},$$

and f is increasing for $x \geq |\det A|^{1/n}$. Then, for any real ξ , $\lambda_1 \geq \xi \geq \frac{2m}{n}$

$$\lambda_1 \geq \xi \geq \frac{2m}{n} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}} \geq \frac{E(G)}{n} \geq |\det A|^{1/n}$$

(see, Theorem 2.2 in [5]). Thus

$$f(\lambda_1) \geq f(\xi) = \xi + (k+1)(n-1) \frac{|\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}}}{\xi^{\frac{1}{(k+1)(n-1)}}}.$$

Combining this with (3.14), we get the desired lower bound (3.13). Assume that the equality in (3.13) holds. Then,

$$\lambda_1 = |\lambda_1^*| = \xi \text{ and } |\lambda_1^*| = |\lambda_2^*| = \cdots = |\lambda_n^*|.$$

The above conditions imply that the equality in (3.13) holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$ (n is even). \square

Corollary 5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges, where $2m \geq n$. Then*

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n} + (n-1) \left((k+1) \frac{|\det A|^{\frac{(k+1)n-k}{(k+1)n(n-1)}}}{\left(\frac{2m}{n}\right)^{\frac{1}{(k+1)(n-1)}}} - k |\det A|^{1/n} \right). \quad (3.15)$$

Equality in (3.15) holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$ (n is even).

Remark 9. The following inequalities were obtained in [5]

$$E(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n} + (n-1) \left(\frac{n |\det A|}{2m} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \quad (3.16)$$

and

$$E(G) \geq \xi + (n-1) \left(\frac{|\det A|}{\xi} \right)^{1/(n-1)}, \quad (3.17)$$

where ξ is a real number such that $\lambda_1 \geq \xi \geq \frac{2m}{n}$. Note that (3.16) and (3.17) are, respectively, obtained from (3.15) and (3.13) for $k=0$.

Theorem 5. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq n \left(|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*| - |\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*| |\det A|^{-1/n} \right). \quad (3.18)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, where n is even.

Proof. For $p_i = \frac{1}{n}$, $a_i = |\lambda_i^*|$, $R = |\lambda_1^*|$, $r = |\lambda_n^*|$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, the inequality (2.4) becomes

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| + \frac{|\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*|}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\lambda_i^*|} \leq |\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|,$$

that is

$$E(G) + |\lambda_1^*| |\lambda_n^*| \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\lambda_i^*|} \leq n(|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|). \quad (3.19)$$

On the other hand, from the AM–GM inequality, we have that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{|\lambda_i^*|} \geq \frac{n}{|\det A|^{1/n}}. \quad (3.20)$$

Now from (3.19) and (3.20) we arrive at (3.18).

Equality in (3.20) holds if and only if $|\lambda_1^*| = \cdots = |\lambda_n^*|$, which implies that equality in (3.18) holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, where n is even. \square

Having in mind (2.5) we have the following corollary of Theorem 5.

Corollary 6. *Let G be a graph with n vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq \frac{n(|\lambda_1^*| + |\lambda_n^*|)^2 (|\det A|)^{1/n}}{4|\lambda_1^*||\lambda_n^*|}. \quad (3.21)$$

The inequality (3.21) was proven in [16].

The proof of the next theorem is analogous to that of Theorem 5, hence omitted.

Theorem 6. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq |\lambda_1^*| + (n-1) \left(|\lambda_2^*| + |\lambda_n^*| - |\lambda_2^*||\lambda_n^*| \left(\frac{|\lambda_1^*|}{|\det A|} \right)^{1/(n-1)} \right).$$

Equality holds when $|\lambda_2^| = \cdots = |\lambda_n^*|$.*

Corollary 7. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 3$ vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq |\lambda_1^*| + \frac{n-1}{4} \left(\sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_2^*|}{|\lambda_n^*|}} + \sqrt{\frac{|\lambda_n^*|}{|\lambda_2^*|}} \right)^2 \left(\frac{|\det A|}{|\lambda_1^*|} \right)^{1/(n-1)}.$$

Equality holds when $|\lambda_2^| = \cdots = |\lambda_n^*|$.*

For $x_i = \frac{\lambda_i}{E(G)}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, from (2.6) the following result is obtained.

Proposition 2. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and m edges. Then for any real number sequence $a = (a_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, holds*

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \lambda_i \right| \leq \frac{\left(\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i - \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} a_i \right) E(G)}{2}. \quad (3.22)$$

Corollary 8. *Let G be a graph with n vertices and vertex degree sequence $\Delta = d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n = \delta > 0$. Then*

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \lambda_i \leq \frac{E(G)(\Delta - \delta)}{2}. \quad (3.23)$$

Equality holds if G is a regular graph.

The inequality (3.23) was proven in [14].

Theorem 7. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices, m edges and without isolated vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq \frac{2m \left(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta} - \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}} \right)}{\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}. \quad (3.24)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n .

Proof. For $p_i = \frac{d_i}{2m}$, $a_i = \sqrt{d_i}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $r = \sqrt{\delta}$, $R = \sqrt{\Delta}$, the inequality (2.4) transforms into

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{3/2} + \sqrt{\Delta\delta} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i} \leq 2m(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta}). \quad (3.25)$$

On the other hand, for $r = \frac{3}{2}$, $p_i = 1$, $a_i = d_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the inequality (2.7) becomes

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n 1 \right)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{3/2} \geq \left(\sum_{i=1}^n d_i \right)^{3/2},$$

that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{3/2} \geq 2m \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}}. \quad (3.26)$$

From (3.25) and (3.26) we obtain that

$$2m \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}} + \sqrt{\Delta\delta} \sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i} \leq 2m(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta}),$$

that is

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i} \leq \frac{2m \left(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta} - \sqrt{\frac{2m}{n}} \right)}{\sqrt{\Delta\delta}}.$$

Now from the above and (2.9) we arrive at (3.24).

Equality in (3.26) holds if and only if $d_1 = d_2 = \dots = d_n$, which implies that equality in (3.24) holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n . \square

Denote by $D = \text{diag}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n)$ the diagonal degree matrix of graph G . In the next corollary, we give an upper bound for $E(G)$ in terms of m , Δ , δ and the determinant of the matrix D , $(\det D)$.

Corollary 9. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices, m edges and without isolated vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta\delta}} \left(2m(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta}) - n(\det D)^{3/(2n)} \right). \quad (3.27)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n .

Proof. Since

$$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{3/2} \geq n \left(\prod_{i=1}^n d_i^{3/2} \right)^{1/n} = n(\det D)^{3/(2n)}.$$

From the above and inequality (3.25) we obtain

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \sqrt{d_i} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{\Delta\delta}} \left(2m(\sqrt{\Delta} + \sqrt{\delta}) - n(\det D)^{3/(2n)} \right).$$

From the above and inequality (2.9) we obtain (3.27). □

Theorem 8. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices and m edges. Then*

$$E(G) \leq \frac{n}{4m} (2m + M_1(G)). \quad (3.28)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n , or $G \cong \overline{K_n}$.

Proof. For $p_i = 1$, $a_i = |\lambda_i^*|$, $b_i = d_i$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, the inequality (2.8) becomes

$$n \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| d_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2mE(G). \quad (3.29)$$

Bearing in mind the AM–GM inequality, we have that

$$n \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i^*| d_i \leq \frac{n}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n (|\lambda_i^*|^2 + d_i^2) = \frac{n}{2} (2m + M_1(G)). \quad (3.30)$$

From (3.29) and (3.30) we obtain

$$2mE(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} (2m + M_1(G)),$$

from which (3.28) is obtained.

Equality in (3.29) holds if and only if $d_1 = \dots = d_n$, or $|\lambda_1^*| = \dots = |\lambda_n^*|$. Equality in (3.30) holds if and only if $|\lambda_i^*| = d_i$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. This implies that equality (3.28) holds if and only if $|\lambda_1^*| = \dots = |\lambda_n^*|$, that is if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n , or $G \cong \overline{K_n}$. \square

Since $M_1(G) \leq 2m\Delta$ we have the next corollary of Theorem 8.

Corollary 10. *Let G be a graph with $n \geq 2$ vertices. Then*

$$E(G) \leq \frac{n}{2}(1 + \Delta). \quad (3.31)$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong \frac{n}{2}K_2$, for even n .

Remark 10. In [33, Theorem 2.1] the following upper bound on $E(G)$ was proven

$$E(G) \leq \frac{\sqrt{\Delta}}{\delta^2} M_1(G). \quad (3.32)$$

The upper bounds (3.28) and (3.31) are incomparable with (3.32). Thus, for example, when $G \cong K_5$, the exact value is $E(G) = 8$, while the bound (3.32) is equal to 10, and both bounds (3.28) and (3.31) are equal to 12.5. However, when $G \cong P_5$, the exact value is $E(G) = 5.4641$, while the bound (3.32) is equal to 19.799, and bounds given by (3.28) and (3.31) are equal to 6.875 and 7.5, respectively.

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

References

- [1] S. Akbari, A. Alazemi, M. Andjelić, and M.A. Hosseinzadeh, *On the energy of line graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **636** (2022), 143–153.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2021.11.022>.
- [2] S. Akbari, M. Ghahremani, M.A. Hosseinzadeh, S.K. Ghezelahmad, H. Rasouli, and A. Tehranian, *A lower bound for graph energy in terms of minimum and maximum degrees*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **86** (2021), no. 3, 549–558.
- [3] S. Akbari and M.A. Hosseinzadeh, *A short proof for graph energy is at least twice of minimum degree*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **83** (2020), no. 3, 631–633.

- [4] S. Al-Yakooba, S. Filipovskib, and D. Stevanović, *Proofs of a few special cases of a conjecture on energy of non-singular graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **86** (2021), no. 3, 577–586.
- [5] Ş.B.B. Altındağ and D. Bozkurt, *Lower bounds for the energy of (bipartite) graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **77** (2017), no. 1, 9–14.
- [6] G. Caporossi, D. Cvetković, I. Gutman, and P. Hansen, *Variable neighborhood search for extremal graphs. 2. Finding graphs with extremal energy*, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. **39** (1999), no. 6, 984–996.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ci9801419>.
- [7] V. Cirtoaje, *The best lower bound depended on two fixed variables for Jensen's inequality with ordered variables*, J. Inequal. Appl. **2010** (2010), Article number: 128258.
<https://doi.org/10.1155/2010/128258>.
- [8] D.M. Cvetković, M. Doob, and H. Sachs, *Spectra of Graphs: Theory and Application*, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [9] K.C. Das and S. Elumalai, *On energy of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **77** (2017), no. 1, 3–8.
- [10] K.C. Das, I. Gutman, I. Milovanović, E. Milovanović, and B. Furtula, *Degree-based energies of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **554** (2018), 185–204.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2018.05.027>.
- [11] K.C. Das, S.A. Mojallal, and I. Gutman, *Relations between degrees, conjugate degrees and graph energies*, Linear Algebra Appl. **515** (2017), 24–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2016.11.009>.
- [12] G.H. Fath-Tabar and A.R. Ashrafi, *Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs*, Math. Commun. **15** (2010), no. 2, 443–451.
- [13] O. Favaron, M. Mahéo, and J.F. Saclé, *Some eigenvalue properties in graphs (conjectures of Graffiti—II)*, Discrete Math. **111** (1993), no. 1-3, 197–220.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X\(93\)90156-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0012-365X(93)90156-N).
- [14] S. Filipovski, *New bounds for the first Zagreb index*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **85** (2021), no. 2, 303–312.
- [15] ———, *Relations between the energy of graphs and other graph parameters*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **87** (2022), no. 3, 661–672.
- [16] S. Filipovski and R. Jajcay, *New upper bounds for the energy and spectral radius of graphs*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **84** (2020), no. 2, 335–343.
- [17] ———, *Bounds for the energy of graphs*, Mathematics **9** (2021), no. 14, Article ID: 1687.
<https://doi.org/10.3390/math9141687>.
- [18] S. Furuichi, *On refined Young inequalities and reverse inequalities*, J. Math. Inequal. **5** (2011), no. 1, 21–31.
- [19] I. Gutman, *The energy of a graph*, Ber. Math. Statist. Sect. Forschungsz. Graz **103** (1978), 1–22.
- [20] ———, *Oboudi-type bounds for graph energy*, Math. Interdisc. Res. **4** (2019), no. 2, 151–155.
<https://doi.org/10.22052/mir.2019.207442.1172>.

- [21] I. Gutman and B. Furtula, *Recent Results in the Theory of Randić Index*, (Eds.), University of Kragujevac and Faculty of Science Kragujevac, 2008.
- [22] P. Henrici, *Two remarks on the Kantorovich inequality*, Am. Math. Mon. **68** (1961), no. 9, 904–906.
<https://doi.org/10.2307/2311698>.
- [23] X. Li, Y. Shi, and I. Gutman, *Graph Energy*, Springer, New York, 2012.
- [24] B.J. McClelland, *Properties of the latent roots of a matrix: the estimation of π -electron energies*, J. Chem. Phys. **54** (1971), no. 2, 640–643.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1674889>.
- [25] I.Ž. Milovanović, V.M. Ćirić, and E.I. Milovanović, *On some spectral, vertex and edge degree-based graph invariants*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **77** (2017), no. 1, 177–188.
- [26] I.Ž. Milovanović, E.I. Milovanović, M.M. Matejić, and A. Ali, *A note on the relationship between graph energy and determinant of adjacency matrix*, Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl. **11** (2019), no. 1, Article ID: 1950001.
<https://doi.org/10.1142/S1793830919500010>.
- [27] I.Ž. Milovanović, E.I. Milovanović, and A. Zakić, *A short note on graph energy*, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. **72** (2014), no. 1, 179–182.
- [28] D. S. Mitrinović and P. M. Vasić, *Analytic Inequalities*, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012.
- [29] D.S. Mitrinović, J. Pečarić, and A.M. Fink, *Classical and New Inequalities in Analysis*, Springer Dordrecht, 2013.
- [30] M.R. Oboudi, *A new lower bound for the energy of graphs*, Linear Algebra Appl. **580** (2019), 384–395.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.laa.2019.06.026>.
- [31] M. Randić, *Characterization of molecular branching*, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **97** (1975), no. 23, 6609–6615.
<https://doi.org/10.1021/ja00856a001>.
- [32] B.C. Rennie, *On a class of inequalities*, J. Aust. Math. Soc. **3** (1963), no. 4, 442–448.
- [33] Z. Yan, X. Zheng, and J. Li, *Some degree-based topological indices and (normalized Laplacian) energy of graphs*, Discrete Math. Lett. **11** (2023), 19–26.
<https://doi.org/10.47443/dml.2022.059>.