

Research Article

Lower bounds on the k-limited packing number of a graph

Michael A. Henning¹, Nader Jafari Rad^{2,*}

¹Department of Mathematics and Applied Mathematics, University of Johannesburg Auckland Park, 2006 South Africa mahenning@uj.ac.za

> ²Department of Mathematics, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran *n.jafarirad@gmail.com

> > Received: 4 May 2025; Accepted: 7 August 2025 Published Online: 14 August 2025

Abstract: For a given integer $k \geq 1$, a subset S of vertices of a graph G is a k-limited packing if $|N_G[v] \cap S| \leq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$, where $N_G[v]$ denotes the closed neighborhood of a vertex v in G. The k-limited packing number, $L_k(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of a k-limited packing in G. In this paper we present a probabilistic lower bound for the k-limited packing number of a graph. In particular we improve a previous lower bound given in [Discrete Appl. Math. 184 (2015), 146–153]. We also present a randomized algorithm for the k-limited packing number of a graph.

Keywords: k-limited packing number, Probabilistic methods.

AMS Subject classification: 05C69

1. Introduction

Let G be a graph with vertex set V(G) and edge set E(G). The order and size of a graph G denoted n(G) and m(G), are |V(G)| and |E(G)|, respectively. Two vertices u and v of G are adjacent if $uv \in E(G)$, and are called neighbors. The open neighborhood $N_G(v)$ of a vertex v in G is the set of neighbors of v, while the closed neighborhood of v is the set $N_G[v] = \{v\} \cup N_G(v)$. A packing (sometimes called a 2-packing in the literature) of G is a set S of vertices such that $N_G[u] \cap N_G[v] = \emptyset$ for every two distinct vertices $u, v \in S$, and the packing number of G, $\rho(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of a packing in G. An open packing of G is a set G of vertices such that $G(u) \cap G(v) = \emptyset$ for every two distinct vertices $u, v \in S$, and the open

^{*} Corresponding Author

packing number of G, $\rho^0(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of an open packing in G. The concept of a packing in graphs is very well studied in the literature, see, for example, in [7, 11].

Limited packings in graphs were introduced by Gallant, Gunther, Hartnell and Rall [4] in 2010 as a generalization of packing in graphs. For a given integer $k \geq 1$, Gallant et al. defined a subset of S of vertices of a graph G to be a k-limited packing if $|N_G[v] \cap S| \leq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$. The k-limited packing number, $L_k(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of a k-limited packing in G. When k = 1, a 1-limited packing is precisely a packing, that is, $L_1(G) = \rho(G)$. We note that if $k > \Delta(G)$ where $\Delta(G)$ denotes the maximum degree among all vertices in G, then $L_k(G) = n(G)$. The concept of limited packing was further studied, for example, in [10, 12].

Total limited packings in graphs were introduced by Moghaddam, Mojdeh and Samadi [8] in 2016. For a given integer $k \geq 1$, Moghaddam et al. defined a subset of S of vertices of a graph G to be a k-total limited packing if $|N_G(v) \cap S| \leq k$ for all $v \in V(G)$. The k-total limited packing number, $L_{k,t}(G)$, is the maximum cardinality of a k-total limited packing in G. When k = 1, a 1-total limited packing is precisely an open packing, that is, $L_{1,t}(G) = \rho^0(G)$. We note that if $k \geq \Delta(G)$, then $L_{k,t}(G) = n(G)$.

We remark that k-limited packing and k-total limited packing is related to multiple domination (also called ℓ -tuple domination in the literature) and multiple total domination (also called ℓ -tuple total domination in the literature) in graphs. For recent books on domination in graphs, we refer the reader to [5, 6].

A powerful tool to obtain bounds for various combinatorial objects is the probabilistic method. We refer the reader to the excellent book by Alon and Spencer [1] on the state of the art on the probabilistic method. Gagarin and Zverovich [3] developed a new probabilistic approach to limited packing number in graphs, resulting in the following lower bound for the k-limited packing number of a graph.

Theorem 1. ([3]) If G is a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta \geq k \geq 1$, then

$$L_k(G) \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}}.$$

2. Main results

Our contributions in this paper are twofold. Firstly we prove a (new) probabilistic lower bound for the k-limited packing number of a graph that improves the bound given in Theorem 1.

Theorem 2. If G is a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta \ge k \ge 1$, then

$$L_k(G) \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta+1}{k+1}\right)(1+k)}}\right)^{(1+\Delta)}\right).$$

A proof of Theorem 2 is given in Section 3. The bound in Theorem 2 is an improvement of the bound in Theorem 1. Since $\binom{\Delta+1}{k+1} = 1$ when $k = \Delta$ and since

$$\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{\binom{\Delta+1}{k+1}(1+k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}\right) \to 0$$

as $k \to \infty$, an identical proof as that given in [3] yields that the bound of Theorem 2 is asymptotically best possible. We also remark that an improvement of the bound in Theorem 1 is presented in [9]. However in the proof of this result given in [9] they formed a set, namely, $X \cup D$ (see [9]) and claimed that it is a k-limited packing, which is not correct in general. In fact if a vertex outside D is adjacent to more than k vertices of D, then $X \cup D$ is not a k-limited packing. We remark that in [2] a lower bound for the k-limited packing number of a graph for large values of k is established. Our result in Theorem 2 holds for all $k \ge 1$.

Our second contribution is to present a randomized algorithm to find a k-limited packing set whose size satisfies the bound of Theorem 2. We present our randomized algorithm in Section 4.

3. Proof of Theorem 2

In this section, we present a proof of Theorem 2. Let G be a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta$. For k a positive integer, we note that if $k \geq \Delta + 1$, then $L_k(G) = n(G)$. For a positive integer $t \leq \Delta + 1$, we define

$$\tilde{c}_t = \tilde{c}_t(G) = \begin{pmatrix} \Delta + 1 \\ t \end{pmatrix}.$$

We present next our key lemma.

Lemma 1. If G is a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta \ge k \ge 1$ and if 0 , then there is a k-limited packing set L of G such that

$$|L| \ge \alpha \left(1 + (1-p)^{(1+\Delta)}\right),$$

where $\alpha = pn(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1})$.

Proof. Let $A \subseteq V(G)$ be a set obtained by choosing each vertex $v \in V(G)$, independently, with probability p, and let $B = \{v \in V(G) : N[v] \cap A = \emptyset\}$ and $B' = \{v \in V(G) : N_G[v] \subseteq B\}$. It is evident that $\deg_{G[B]}(v) = \deg_G(v)$ for every vertex $v \in B'$.

We follow the proof of Theorem 1 given in [3]. For $m \in \{k, ..., \Delta\}$, we denote

$$A_m = \{ v \in A : |N(v) \cap A| = m \}.$$

For each set A_m , we form a set A'_m in the following way. For every vertex $v \in A_m$, we select m - (k - 1) (arbitrary) neighbors from $N_G(v) \cap A$ and add them to A'_m . Thus, $|A'_m| \leq (m - k + 1)|A_m|$ for each $m \in \{k, \ldots, \Delta\}$. For $m \in \{k + 1, \ldots, \Delta\}$, we let

$$B_m = \{ v \in V(G) \setminus A : |N_G(v) \cap A| = m \}.$$

For each set B_m , we form a set B'_m in the following way. For every vertex $v \in B_m$, we select m - k (arbitrary) neighbors from $N_G(v) \cap A$ for every vertex $v \in B_m$ and adding them to B'_m . Thus, $|B'_m| \leq (m-k)|B_m|$ for each $m \in \{k+1,\ldots,\Delta\}$. Let

$$X = A \setminus \left(\left(\bigcup_{m=k}^{\Delta} A'_{m} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=k+1}^{\Delta} B'_{m} \right) \right).$$

It is proved in [3] that

$$E(|X|) \ge pn - p^{k+1}n \sum_{m=0}^{\Delta - k} (m+1)\tilde{c}_{m+k+1}p^m (1-p)^{\Delta - k - m} = pn(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1}) = c(3.1)$$

Since for a random variable T, we have $\Pr(T \ge E(T)) > 0$, there is such a subset X such that X is a k-limited packing set in G and $|X| \ge \alpha$.

Now we consider B as a fixed set and can assume that $B' \neq \emptyset$, since B can be viewed as a randomly chosen subset of G. Thus we focus here on the graph G[B]. Let $D \subseteq B'$ be a set obtained by choosing each vertex $v \in B'$, independently, with probability p. For $m \in \{k, \ldots, \Delta\}$, we let

$$D_m = \{ v \in D : |N_G(v) \cap D| = m \}.$$

For each set D_m , we form a set D'_m in the following way. For every vertex $v \in D_m$, we select m-(k-1) (arbitrary) neighbors from $N_G(v) \cap D$ and add them to D'_m . We note that $|D'_m| \leq (m-k+1)|D_m|$ for each $m \in \{k, \ldots, \Delta\}$. For $m \in \{k+1, \ldots, \Delta\}$, we let

$$F_m = \{ v \in B \setminus D : |N(v) \cap D| = m \}.$$

For each set F_m , we form a set F'_m by selecting m-k (arbitrary) neighbors from $N_G(v) \cap D$ for every vertex $v \in F_m$. We note that $|F'_m| \leq (m-k)|F_m|$ for each $m \in \{k+1,\ldots,\Delta\}$. Let

$$Y = D \setminus \left(\left(\bigcup_{m=k}^{\Delta} D'_m \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=k+1}^{\Delta} F'_m \right) \right).$$

By construction, the resulting set Y is a k-limited packing set for G[B]. We note that

$$E(|Y|) \geq E(|D|) - \left(\bigcup_{m=k}^{\Delta} E(|D'_m|)\right) - \left(\bigcup_{m=k+1}^{\Delta} E(|F'_m|)\right)$$

$$\geq E(|D|) - \left(\bigcup_{m=k}^{\Delta} (m-k+1)E(|D_m|)\right) - \left(\bigcup_{m=k+1}^{\Delta} (m-k)E(|F_m|)\right).$$

It is evident that E(|D|) = p|B'|. With an analogous and similar arguments to that used to establish Inequality (3.1) (given in [3]) we infer that

$$E(|D'_m|) \le p^{m+1} (1-p)^{\Delta-m} c_m |B|$$

and

$$E(|F'_m|) \le p^m (1-p)^{\Delta-m+1} c_m |B|.$$

By our earlier observations, we therefore infer that

$$E(|Y|) \geq p|B| - p^{k+1}|B| \sum_{m=0}^{\Delta - k} (m+1)\tilde{c}_{m+k+1}p^m (1-p)^{\Delta - k - m}$$
$$= p|B|(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1}). \tag{3.2}$$

Since for a random variable T, we have $\Pr(T \ge E(T)) > 0$, there is a subset $Y \subseteq B'$ such that Y is a k-limited packing set in G[B] and $|Y| \ge p|B|(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1})$.

We now return to the graph G and view B and Y as subsets of V(G), where A is chosen randomly and where |B| is a random variable. It is evident that the set $X \cup Y$ is a k-limited packing set for G, since $|N_G[v] \cap (X \cup Y)| \le k$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Moreover,

$$E(|X \cup Y|) = E(|X| + |Y|) = E(|X|) + E(|Y|)$$

$$\geq \alpha + p(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1}) E(|B|)$$

$$\geq \alpha + p(1 - p^k \tilde{c}_{k+1}) n(1 - p)^{1+\Delta}$$

$$= \alpha (1 + (1 - p)^{1+\Delta})$$

$$= \alpha (1 + 1(1 - p)^{1+\Delta}).$$

Therefore, there is a k-limited packing set L such that $|L| \ge \alpha(1 + 1(1-p)^{1+\Delta})$, as desired.

Letting

$$p = \left(\frac{1}{\binom{\Delta+1}{k+1}(1+k)}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}},$$

it is proved in [3] that

$$\alpha \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}}.$$

Thus as a consequence of the above lower bound on α , as an application of Lemma 1 we immediately infer our main result, namely Theorem 2. Recall its statement.

Theorem 2. If G is a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta \geq k \geq 1$, then

$$L_k(G) \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta+1}{k+1}\right)(1+k)}}\right)^{(1+\Delta)}\right).$$

As remarked in Section 2, the bound of Theorem 2 is asymptotically best possible. Since any k-limited packing is a k-total limited packing, we have the following immediate lower bound for the k-total limited packing number of a graph.

Theorem 3. If G is a graph of order n with maximum degree $\Delta(G) = \Delta \geq k \geq 1$, then

$$L_{k,t}(G) \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}} \left(1 + \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{(\frac{\Delta+1}{k+1})(1+k)}}\right)^{(1+\Delta)}\right).$$

4. A randomized algorithm

Gagarin and Zverovich [3] presented a randomized algorithm, namely Algorithm 1 in [3], to find a k-limited packing set whose size satisfies the bound of Theorem 1. In this section we develop the randomized Algorithm 1 (presented in [3]), and present a randomized algorithm to find a k-limited packing set whose size satisfies the bound of Theorem 2.

Our algorithm can be implemented to run in $O(n^2)$ time. In Line 2 to Line 15, this algorithm constructs a k-limited packing set by recursively removing unwanted vertices from the initially constructed set A. Lines 1–15 can be implemented in $O(n^2)$

time, as they are precisely the lines of Algorithm 1 of [3]. In Line 16 the algorithm forms the graph G[B] by removing each vertex v such that $N[v] \cap A \neq \emptyset$ from G, and this can be done in O(n+m) steps. In Lines 17–20, it forms the subset B' in O(n+m) steps. In Lines 22–34 the algorithm mimics the computations of Lines 2-15 to constructs a k-limited packing set by recursively removing unwanted vertices from the initially constructed set D, and as it was seen it can be done in $O(n^2)$ steps. Finally in Line 35, the algorithm does an extension of the preliminary k-limited packing set $X \cup Y$. For this purpose, checking whether $X \cup Y$ is maximal or extending $X \cup Y$ to a maximal k-limited packing can be done in O(n+m) time, since it examines the vertices of $V(G) \setminus (X \cup Y)$ one by one to decide whether to add them to the set $X \cup Y$ or not.

Algorithm 1 Randomized k-limited packing

```
Input: A graph G and an integer k with 1 \le k \le \Delta.
Output: A k-limited packing set L of G.
 1: Compute p = \left(\frac{1}{\tilde{c}_{k+1}(1+k)}\right)
 2: Initialize A = \emptyset, D = \emptyset and B' = \emptyset
 3: for each vertex v \in V(G) do
        with probability p, decide whether v \in A or v \notin A.
    end for
 5:
 6: for each vertex v \in V(G) do
        Compute r = |N_G(v) \cap A|
 7.
        if v \in A and r \geq k then
 8:
            Remove any r-k+1 vertices of N_G(v) \cap A from A
 9:
        end if
10:
        if v \notin A and r > k then
11:
            Remove any r-k vertices of N_G(v) \cap A from A
12:
        end if
14: end for
15: Put X = A
16: Form a set B by removing each vertex v such that N[v] \cap A \neq \emptyset from G
    for each vertex v \in B do
        if N[v] \subseteq B then
18
            B' = B' \cup \{v\}
19:
        end if
20:
21: end for
    for each vertex v \in B' do
        with probability p, decide whether v \in D or v \notin D.
23:
    end for
24:
    for each vertex v \in B do
25:
        Compute r = |N_G(v) \cap D|
26:
        if v \in D and r \ge k then
27:
            Remove any r-k+1 vertices of N_G(v) \cap D from D
28:
```

```
29: end if
30: if v \notin D and r > k then
31: Remove any r - k vertices of N_G(v) \cap D from D
32: end if
33: end for
34: Put Y = D
35: Extend X \cup Y to a maximal k-limited packing L
36: Return L
```

5. Concluding Remarks

In Lemma 1 we have shown that a graph G of order n with $\Delta(G) = \Delta \ge k \ge 1$ has a k-limited packing set of cardinality at least $\alpha(1 + (1-p)^{(1+\Delta)})$, where 0 and

$$\alpha = pn \left(1 - p^k \binom{\Delta + 1}{k + 1} \right).$$

It would be interesting to study if this lower bound can be further improved to $\alpha(1+s(1-p)^{(1+\Delta)})$ for each integer s. If this can be proved, then the bound of Theorem 2 will be improved to

$$L_k(G) \ge \frac{kn}{(k+1)\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta}{k}\right)(\Delta+1)}} \left(1 + s\left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt[k]{\left(\frac{\Delta+1}{k+1}\right)(1+k)}}\right)^{(1+\Delta)}\right)$$

for each integer s.

Acknowledgements: Research of Michael A. Henning was supported in part by the South African National Research Foundation (grants 132588) and the University of Johannesburg. The research of Nader Jafari Rad is based upon research funded by Iran National Science Foundation (INSF) under project No. 4027384.

Conflict of Interest: The authors have no relevant financial or non-financial interests to disclose.

Data Availability: Data sharing is not applicable to this article as no new data were created or analyzed in this study.

Declarations: The authors declare that they have no Conflict of interest. The contribution of the authors to the research and preparation of the manuscript is equal.

References

- [1] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [2] P.N. Balister, B. Bollobás, and K. Gunderson, *Limited packings of closed neighbourhoods in graphs*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1501.01833 (2015).
- [3] A. Gagarin and V. Zverovich, The probabilistic approach to limited packings in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. **184** (2015), 146–153. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2014.11.017.
- [4] R. Gallant, G. Gunther, B.L. Hartnell, and D.F. Rall, Limited packings in graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 158 (2010), no. 12, 1357–1364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2009.04.014.
- [5] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi, and M.A. Henning, Topics in Domination in Graphs, vol. 64, Springer Cham, 2020.
- [6] _____, Structures of Domination in Graphs, vol. 66, Springer Cham, 2021.
- [7] M.A. Henning and P.J. Slater, *Open packing in graphs*, J. Comb. Math. Comb. Comput. **29** (1999), 3–16.
- [8] S.M. Hosseini Moghaddam, D.A. Mojdeh, and B. Samadi, Limited packing vs tuple domination in graphs, Fasciculi Math. 56 (2016), no. 1, 121–127. http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/fascmath-2016-0008.
- [9] M. Mohammadi, N. Jafari Rad, and M. Maghasedi, A new probabilistic lower bound on the limited packing number of a graph, Util. Math. 114 (2020), 249– 254.
- [10] D.A. Mojdeh, B. Samadi, and S.M. Hosseini Moghaddam, *Limited packing vs tuple domination in graphs*, Ars Combin. **133** (2017), 155–161.
- [11] D.A. Mojdeh, B. Samadi, A. Khodkar, and H.R. Golmohammadi, On the packing numbers in graphs, Australas. J. Combin. **71** (2017), no. 3, 468–475.
- [12] B. Samadi, On the k-limited packing numbers in graphs, Discrete Optim. 22 (2016), 270–276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disopt.2016.08.002.